

**REMARKS**


The Office communication of November 3, 2005 alleges that amendments to the claims are considered non-compliant because each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier. However, Applicant did not make any amendments to the claims in the response filed on August 19, 2005. In any event, Applicant hereby submits a complete listing of claims with proper status identifiers.

With respect to the restriction requirement of July 19, 2005, Applicant hereby affirms that Applicant has elected with traverse Group I: Claims 1-9, 17-23 and 29, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 451, subclass 11. However, Applicant respectfully reasserts that the inventions of Groups I and II are not distinct from each other since the apparatus as claimed can only be used to practice processes that require an object to be pivoted or transferred. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully suggests that the pending claims 1-38 in the patent application are distinct over the prior art and that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

In Kwon Jeong

By:   
Thomas H. Ham  
Registration No. 43,654  
Telephone: (925) 249-1300

Date: 11/29/2005